

Using Technology to Enhance Literacy Through Deliberate Practice

Carl W. Swartz, Ph.D., A. Jackson Stenner, Ph.D., Sean T. Hanlon, Hal Burdick, and Donald S. Burdick

MetaMetrics
Durham, NC

Using Technology to Enhance Literacy Through Deliberate Practice

Need. Research suggests students may not maintain or attain a sufficient degree of reading expertise to achieve college and career readiness in literacy (Achieve, 2005; ACT, 2005, 2006; Alliance for Excellent Education, 2006). The new-found focus on college and career readiness provides an opportunity to further develop the instructional ingredients critical to promoting expertise such that each reader is placed on a growth trajectory predictive of college and career readiness.

Instructional Elements Contributing to Development of Expertise in Literacy. It's easy to think of a field of endeavor and arrive at criteria and consensus for at least one person considered a master in his or her discipline. We could easily agree that Mia Hamm was one of the world's most innovative soccer players, Sir Lawrence Olivier mastered the craft of acting better than any who came before or since, Maya Angelou was the most articulate poetess, and Bobby Fischer was a sublime grandmaster in chess.

A more difficult question to answer is how did each of these individuals develop expertise in their field? Emerging research from a wide array of fields suggests that novices are not born but rather develop expertise by engaging in deliberate practice over a long period of time (Ericsson, Charness, Feltovich, & Hoffman, 2006). Empirical results specifically suggest that deliberate practice is needed to advance each student from novice to expert (Glaser, 1996; Kellogg, 2006; Wagner & Stanovich, 1996): (1) *targeted practice* in which each person is engaged in activities targeted to their ability; (2) *real-time corrective feedback* that is based on each person's performance; (3) *intensive practice* to increase the volume of activity each day; (4) *distributed practice* to increase the volume of developmentally appropriate activities over a long period of time (e.g., 10 years, 10,000 hours); (5) *self-directed practice* in an activity so that volume is not restricted by availability of a coach, mentor or teacher; and (6) *progress measured on an objective developmental scale* which allows educators to monitor growth from novice to expert.

Increasing Time and Volume of Literacy Activities. The idea of increasing the volume of deliberate practice in literacy by growing the time each student devotes to individualized reading and writing activities targeted to a each student's ability may overwhelm educators who teach in already-busy classrooms. A solution with potential to prepare students for college and career is to use technology that integrates key elements of deliberate practice (Biancorsa & Snow, 2006; Graham & Perin, 2007; U.S. Department of Education, 2010). This technology would help teachers overcome both the time-consuming demands of finding or developing activities targeted to the reading and writing ability of each student and, subsequently, scoring the activities for purposes of formative assessment. Instead, it would allow teachers to do what they do best—teach.

A Technology-Based Solution. Oasis is a Web-based research platform that applies principles of deliberate practice to support growth from novice to expertise in reading and writing. Oasis uses the Lexile Framework for Reading to target developing readers with text of high interest or content relevance to what is being taught in the classroom (targeting at each reader's ability $\pm 100L$ assures 69%–80% success rate). Currently, Oasis contains digitized text comprised of approximately 25,000 articles from periodicals such as *Ranger Rick* and *Scholastic News* to *The*

Economist, *Science*, and *Scientific American* provided by EBSCO, over 1,100 articles from on-line English newspapers from 25 countries around the world, and approximately 1,200 articles from the *Featured Articles* section of Wikipedia. Students may also elect to read classic texts such as *Kidnapped*, *Call of the Wild*, *Bunny Brown and His Sister Sue on Grandpa's Farm*. Students may use the reading component of Oasis to read for pleasure or as a class assignment. One of the most important features of this application is that each student's reading comprehension is constantly monitored using his or her answers to auto-generated cloze items. Cloze is a type of reading assessment item in which the reader is asked to supply words that have been systematically deleted from a text. Each student receives immediate feedback about the words chosen to complete the cloze. The count correct is converted to a Lexile[®] reader measure.

Oasis also uses the Lexile Framework for Writing to monitor each student's growth in writing and convention ability through individualized writing activities. The practice activities are designed to enhance (1) writer ability (use of words and how they are combined), (2) convention ability (use of grammar, spelling, capitalization and punctuation rules), and (3) device fluency (use of a keyboard). Growth in writer ability is monitored by students writing 1-2 essays per week to the more than 250 prompts in Oasis. Convention ability is enhanced and monitored through students correcting grammar, spelling, punctuation, and capitalization errors in high interest passages targeted to their writing ability. Student fluency (words typed-per-minute, accuracy) is enhanced through targeted keyboard activities.

Making a Difference Through Technology. Oasis has been used by students in grades 2-12 in a diverse school district in north central Mississippi for four years (2006-2010; Euro-American=56%, African-American=38%, Hispanic=6%; Free-Reduced Lunch=60%). Students in grades 2-12 have read more than 195 million words from approximately 290,000 articles; each targeted to their ability (see Table 1). They have also taken almost 2.5 million auto-generated cloze items. These students have also written almost 7.2 million words in 38,000 essays. They have also corrected over 180,000 errors in the paragraph edit task (see Table 2).

Data from a longitudinal study (2006-2009) of students (n=146) who used Oasis in upper elementary and middle school starting Fall 2006 and ending in middle school Spring 2009 were analyzed to test differences in reading ability and location on a growth trajectory predictive of college and career readiness compared to a control group of students (n=7,000). The treatment group had a significantly higher reading ability compared to the control group (1125L vs. 1033L, $p<0.01$) and were 25L higher than the point on the growth trajectory predictive of college and career readiness (1125L vs. 1100L) compared to the control group who were 67L lower (1033L vs. 1100L).

Summary. Developing expertise in any field of endeavor requires immersing people in activities targeted to their abilities with opportunities to receive feedback and independent practice over long periods of time. Applying these principles in the classroom, so that each student has an opportunity to develop expertise in literacy, will require using technology that supports the teacher. Oasis is one such technology.

Table 1: Oasis - Reading Data by Cohort - Corinth (MS)*Data From 2007-06-01 to 2010-04-26*

| | Student Count | Mean Reader Measure | sd | Encounters | Words | Time Spent | WPM | WPM sd | Items | Observed Correct | Expected Correct | Observed Performance | Expected Performance |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----|------------|-------------|-----------------|-----|--------|-----------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Overall | 1,743 | 1071L | 344 | 289,345 | 194,968,617 | 2y 157d 23h 16m | 150 | 82 | 3,051,341 | 2,245,741 | 2,291,787 | 73.90% | 75.11% |
| Grade 1 | 4 | 739L | 105 | 47 | 16,203 | 2h 36m | 103 | 44 | 477 | 306 | 308 | 60.54% | 64.53% |
| Grade 2 | 217 | 586L | 295 | 14,449 | 3,127,596 | 29d 21h 17m | 72 | 43 | 119,037 | 81,523 | 85,242 | 69.02% | 71.61% |
| Grade 3 | 174 | 810L | 284 | 22,286 | 5,644,421 | 47d 18m | 89 | 51 | 193,840 | 130,819 | 135,400 | 68.29% | 69.85% |
| Grade 4 | 186 | 946L | 295 | 32,936 | 10,932,254 | 74d 19h 24m | 108 | 58 | 302,708 | 202,905 | 210,746 | 68.26% | 69.62% |
| Grade 5 | 164 | 1074L | 221 | 34,864 | 15,026,873 | 92d 10h 12m | 121 | 59 | 340,605 | 243,056 | 251,237 | 72.35% | 73.76% |
| Grade 6 | 175 | 1130L | 180 | 33,650 | 16,335,039 | 80d 20h 48m | 144 | 66 | 338,226 | 245,232 | 252,667 | 73.68% | 74.70% |
| Grade 7 | 171 | 1171L | 229 | 19,485 | 9,352,170 | 43d 1h 36m | 153 | 70 | 173,593 | 127,235 | 131,027 | 74.36% | 75.48% |
| Grade 8 | 164 | 1281L | 252 | 17,083 | 8,725,553 | 39d 19h 37m | 158 | 75 | 150,612 | 112,762 | 114,806 | 76.09% | 76.23% |
| Grade 9 | 149 | 1285L | 254 | 22,815 | 19,490,193 | 81d 19h 21m | 167 | 80 | 264,169 | 203,037 | 206,715 | 77.26% | 78.25% |
| Grade 10 | 130 | 1268L | 229 | 23,225 | 21,477,331 | 89d 11h 49m | 182 | 80 | 264,252 | 199,222 | 201,473 | 75.44% | 76.24% |
| Grade 11 | 102 | 1324L | 151 | 23,906 | 26,811,304 | 104d 19h 16m | 191 | 82 | 312,976 | 240,951 | 246,715 | 77.38% | 78.83% |
| Grade 12 | 107 | 1353L | 157 | 28,394 | 35,844,222 | 128d 3h 13m | 206 | 84 | 384,070 | 298,797 | 306,723 | 78.56% | 79.86% |
| Graduated | | | | 16,205 | 22,185,458 | 75d 17h 49m | 219 | 86 | 206,776 | 159,896 | 163,092 | 78.18% | 78.87% |

Table 2: Oasis - Writing Data by Cohort - Corinth (MS)*Data From 2006-06-01 to 2010-04-26*

| | Student Count (Essays) | Mean Writer Measure | sd | Essays Written | Words | Time Spent | WPM | WPM sd | Student Count (Edits) | Edit Count | Edit Items | Percent Correct | Time Spent |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----|--------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Overall | 1,581 | 558W | 356 | 37,864 | 7,185,670 | 1y 126d 7h 51m | 10 | 9 | 1,576 | 180,129 | 2,352,010 | 69% | 1y 314d 19h 29m |
| Grade 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 2 | 132 | 96W | 103 | 489 | 16,760 | 4d 55m | 4 | 2 | 63 | 557 | 7,174 | 39% | 4d 4h 8m |
| Grade 3 | 169 | 253W | 131 | 1,636 | 134,854 | 26d 6h 39m | 4 | 2 | 166 | 4,451 | 57,181 | 45% | 24d 14h 38m |
| Grade 4 | 184 | 395W | 195 | 3,335 | 380,640 | 53d 8h 36m | 5 | 3 | 184 | 12,684 | 163,274 | 50% | 64d 1h 23m |
| Grade 5 | 153 | 419W | 162 | 4,220 | 493,758 | 67d 5h 49m | 5 | 4 | 164 | 18,126 | 232,868 | 57% | 103d 19h 50m |
| Grade 6 | 161 | 482W | 177 | 3,508 | 508,958 | 55d 14h 20m | 6 | 4 | 174 | 23,167 | 298,631 | 63% | 102d 8h 10m |
| Grade 7 | 170 | 584W | 239 | 4,815 | 738,200 | 49d 18h 38m | 10 | 6 | 170 | 16,118 | 209,358 | 65% | 64d 17h 24m |
| Grade 8 | 160 | 581W | 200 | 3,704 | 571,296 | 39d 12h 16m | 10 | 6 | 162 | 9,696 | 126,268 | 70% | 36d 21h 55m |
| Grade 9 | 135 | 617W | 213 | 3,482 | 603,616 | 33d 7h 32m | 12 | 7 | 150 | 15,647 | 204,394 | 73% | 42d 21h 24m |
| Grade 10 | 109 | 901W | 272 | 4,344 | 1,014,403 | 45d 22h 42m | 15 | 7 | 132 | 20,081 | 263,896 | 76% | 61d 10h 3m |
| Grade 11 | 101 | 1126W | 227 | 3,012 | 918,547 | 41d 21h 58m | 14 | 11 | 102 | 20,387 | 269,480 | 79% | 64d 8h 55m |
| Grade 12 | 107 | 1165W | 246 | 3,273 | 1,098,969 | 46d 6h 53m | 16 | 7 | 107 | 25,498 | 337,915 | 79% | 68d 6h 50m |
| Graduated | | | | 2,046 | 705,669 | 28d 1h 33m | 15 | 22 | 0 | 13,715 | 181,545 | 78% | 42d 4h 36m |

References

- Achieve, Inc. (2005). *Rising to the challenge: Are high school graduates prepared for college and work?* Washington, DC: Author.
- ACT. (2005). *Crisis at the core: Preparing all students for college and work*. Iowa City: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (2006). *Reading between the lines: What the ACT reveals about college readiness in reading*. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- Alliance for Education. (2006). *Who's counted? Who's counting? Understanding high school graduation rates*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Biancorsa, C. , & Snow, C. E. (2006). *Reading next—A vision for action and research in middle and high school literacy: A report to the Carnegie Corporation of New York* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Carnegie Council on Advancing Adolescent Literacy (2010). *Time to act: An agenda for advancing adolescent literacy for college and career success*. New York, NY: Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Ericsson, K. A., Charness, N., Feltovich, P. J., & Hoffman, R. R. (2007). *The Cambridge handbook of expertise and expert performance*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Glaser, R. (1996). Changing the agency for learning: Acquiring expert performance. In K. A. Ericsson (Ed.), *The road to excellence: The acquisition of expert performance in the arts and sciences, sports, and games* (pp. 303-311). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Graham, S., & Perin, D. (2007). *Writing next: Effective strategies to improve writing of adolescents in middle and high schools—A report to Carnegie Corporation of New York*. Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Kellogg, R. T. (2006). Professional writing expertise. In K.A. Ericsson, N. Charness, P. J. Feltovich, & R. R. Hoffman (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of expertise and expert performance* (pp. 389-402). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Office of Educational Technology (2010). *Transforming American education: Learning powered by technology (Draft National Educational Technology Plan)*. U.S. Department of Education: Washington DC.
- Wagner, R K., & Stanovich, K. E. (1996). Expertise in reading. In K. A. Ericsson (Ed.), *The road to excellence: The acquisition of expert performance in the arts and sciences, sports, and games* (pp. 189-325). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.